Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Urmila Pal Chaudhuri,^a Babu Varghese^b and Narasimha N. Murthy^a*

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology–Madras, Chennai 600 036, India, and ^bRegional Sophisticated Instrumentation Centre, Indian Institute of Technology–Madras, Chennai 600 036, India

Correspondence e-mail: nnmurthy@iitm.ac.in

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.006 Å R factor = 0.053 wR factor = 0.145 Data-to-parameter ratio = 12.3

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

 \bigcirc 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

Bis[µ-2-{bis(2-pyridylethyl)amino}phenolato]bis[aquanickel(II)] dinitrate tetrahydrate

The title complex, $[Ni_2(L-O^-)(H_2O)_2](NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$, where $L-O^-$ is bis{2-[bis(2-pyridyl)ethyl]amino}phenol (C₂₀H₂₀-N₃O), is dimeric with each Ni²⁺ ion coordinated by two bridging phenolate O atoms and three N atoms of the N₃O-tetradentate ligand anion $L-O^-$. A molecule of water on each metal completes the octahedral geometry. Crystallographic inversion symmetry is present at the center of the Ni₂O₂ plane of the dimeric unit.

Comment

Nickel is an essential trace element present at the active sites of metalloenzymes urease (Lippard, 1995; Hausinger & Karplus, 2001), hydrogenase, carbon monoxide dehydrogenase and a few others (Wilcox, 1996). The enzyme urease catalyzes the hydrolysis of urea to ammonia and carbon dioxide. The urease active site, thus structurally characterized, has similar structural features with the dinickel(II) center, ligated by histidines and terminally bonded to water, besides a bridging water or hydroxide. Studies related to urease have both agricultural and medicinal implications. The lack of understanding of active-site structure and function prompts bioinorganic chemists to synthesize model complexes (Barrios & Lippard, 2001; Volkmer et al., 1996) that help emulate the active site, both structurally (Carlsson et al., 2002; Jabri et al., 1995) and functionally (Barrios & Lippard, 1999). Understanding of the requirements for forming catalytic sites in biology leads the way to creating new man-made catalysts. Design of new binuclear nickel centers is useful in industry for soil nitrogen fertilization (Barrios & Lippard, 2000). We describe here the synthesis and crystal structure of a binuclear nickel(II) complex, $[Ni_2(L-O^-)(H_2O)_2](NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$, (I), where $L-O^-$ is 2-{[bis(2-pyridyl)ethyl]amino}phenolate, whose coordination environment bears some resemblance to the active site of urease.



The structure of (I) consists of a discrete dimeric nickel(II) dication, two nitrate counter-anions and four water molecules of solvation. Each Ni^{II} atom is coordinated by two bridging phenolate O- and three N-donors from the tetradentate ligand anion, $L-O^-$, plus the binding of water at the sixth coordination site to complete the octahedral geometry about each metal ion. The crystallographic inversion symmetry at the center of the Ni₂O₂ plane makes the two Ni^{II} units geome-

Received 18 June 2003 Accepted 9 July 2003 Online 24 July 2003



Figure 1

A view of the title compound, showing hydrogen bonding. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 20% probability level.



Figure 2

m628

A packing diagram of the title compound.

trically equivalent. The two pyridyls (N2 and N3) on each Ni^{II} are located *trans* to each other, while arylamino atom N1 is cis to the pyridyl groups. The angles at the metal subtended by the cis and trans ligand deviate by at least 13.5 and 20.4°, respectively, from the ideal values of 90 and 180°, indicating distortion from regular octahedral geometry. The largest deviations are $O1 - Ni1 - O1^{i} = 76.52 (11)^{\circ}$ and $O1^{i} - Ni1 - O1^{i} = 76.52 (11)^{\circ}$ N1 = 159.58 (11)°. Interestingly, the Ni $-N_{pyridyl}$ distances are identical, 2.189 (3) Å, in contrast to the Ni $-N_{aryl}$ distance of 2.122 (3) Å. The Ni^{II} $-O_{phenoxo}$ bridge is asymmetric as revealed by Ni1-O1 and Ni1-O1ⁱ distances of 2.014 (3) and 2.107 (2) Å, respectively. The binuclear center is characterized by a metal-metal separation of 3.235 (1) Å and a μ -phenoxo Ni1-O1-Ni1ⁱ bridge angle of 103.48 (11)° (see Table 1). The metal-metal and metal-ligand distances compare favorably to a variety of dinuclear Ni complexes having Ni₂O₂ units formed by phenolic macrocyclic ligands (Adams et al., 2000; Koga et al., 1998; Nanda et al., 1998).

The notable feature of the structure is the aqua coordination on each Ni^{II} atom; the water molecules are disposed *trans* with respect to the μ -phenoxo O atoms. The coordinated water molecules both participate in strong hydrogen-bonding interactions with the four uncoordinated water molecules (Fig. 1). The nitrate counter-anions also form moderately strong intermolecular hydrogen bonds with the uncoordinated water molecules (see Table 2 and Fig. 2).

Experimental

The ligand 2-{[bis(2-pyridyl)ethyl]amino}phenol, L-OH, was synthesized following a literature procedure (Murthy et al., 1993). To a solution of Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (0.565 g, 19 mmol) in MeOH (8.0 ml) was added a mixture of predissolved ligand L-OH (0.62 g, 19 mmol) and NEt₃ (0.27 ml, 19 mmol), resulting in the formation of a clear green solution. After stirring this for 1 h, slow solvent evaporation produced X-ray quality crystals of (I) (0.75 g) in 79% yield. UV-vis [MeOH, λ max, nm (ε , M^{-1} cm⁻¹)]: 388 (78), 639 (35), 1095 (36). IR (Nujol, cm^{-1}): 3440 (s, O-H), 1270 (s, C-O), 1088 (s, ClO₄⁻). Magnetic moment (Evans, MeOH): 2.54 B.M/Ni at 296 K. ¹H NMR (MeOH, 400 MHz, room temperature): δ -16.94, -12.13, 14.84, 18.14, 22.27, 26.666, 29.68, 39.66, 40.15, 63.

Crystal data

$ \begin{split} & [\mathrm{Ni}_2(\mathrm{C}_{20}\mathrm{H}_{20}\mathrm{N}_3\mathrm{O})_2(\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O})_2] - \\ & (\mathrm{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 4\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \\ & M_r = 986.32 \\ & \mathrm{Monoclinic}, \ P2_1/c \\ & a = 10.902 \ (4) \ \mathrm{\AA} \\ & b = 10.613 \ (4) \ \mathrm{\AA} \\ & c = 19.505 \ (8) \ \mathrm{\AA} \\ & \beta = 103.32 \ (3)^\circ \\ & V = 2196.0 \ (15) \ \mathrm{\AA}^3 \\ & Z = 2 \end{split} $	$D_x = 1.492 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 10-15^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.93 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) K Block, green $0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.2 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Enraf – Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer ω -2 θ scans Absorption correction: ψ scan (North <i>et al.</i> , 1968) $T_{\min} = 0.737$, $T_{\max} = 0.830$ 4064 measured reflections 3850 independent reflections 2879 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	$R_{int} = 0.040$ $\theta_{max} = 25.0^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 12$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 12$ $l = -23 \rightarrow 22$ 2 standard reflections frequency: 60 min intensity decay: none
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.053$ $wR(F^2) = 0.145$ S = 1.05 3850 reflections 313 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of	$w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0867P)^{2} + 2.0357P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 1.04 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.78 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Symmetry code: (iv) 1 - x, -y, 1 - z.

5	
efinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + ($
$[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.053$	+ 2.0357P]
$R(F^2) = 0.145$	where $P = (F_o^2)^2$
= 1.05	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
350 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 1.04 \text{ e } \text{\AA}$
3 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.78 {\rm e} {\rm \AA}$
atoms treated by a mixture of	
independent and constrained	
refinement	

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Ni1-O1	2.014 (3)	Ni1-N2	2.189 (3)
Ni1-O1 ^{iv}	2.107 (2)	Ni1-N3	2.189 (3)
Ni1-O2	2.065 (3)	Ni1-Ni1 ^{iv}	3.235 (1)
Ni1-N1	2.122 (3)	$O1-O1^{i}$	2.552 (3)
O1-Ni1-O2	176.48 (13)	N2-Ni1-N3	168.11 (12)
O2-Ni1-O1 ^{iv}	104.93 (13)	O1 ^{iv} -Ni1-N1	159.58 (11)
D1-Ni1-O1 ^{iv}	76.52 (11)	O1 ^{iv} -Ni1-N3	90.16 (11)
N1-Ni1-N2	90.57 (12)	Ni1-O1-Ni1 ^{iv}	103.48 (11)

U. P. Chaudhuri et al. • $[Ni_2(C_{20}H_{20}N_3O)_2(H_2O)_2](NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$

Table 2		
Hydrogen-bonding geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$O2-H2A\cdots O7$	0.83 (6)	1.90 (6)	2.726 (6)	173 (6)
$O2-H2B\cdots O6$	0.73 (6)	2.01 (6)	2.717 (7)	163 (6)
$O6-H6A\cdots O4^{i}$	0.75 (9)	2.38 (9)	3.101 (10)	161 (10)
$O6-H6B\cdots O5^{ii}$	0.73 (8)	2.20 (8)	2.909 (11)	163 (10)
$O7-H7A\cdots O4^{iii}$	0.91 (10)	2.26 (10)	3.071 (8)	149 (9)
$O7-H7B\cdots O3^{ii}$	0.73 (6)	2.19 (7)	2.909 (8)	172 (7)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y, 1 - z; (ii) x, y - 1, z; (iii) -x, $y - \frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2} - z$.

H atoms attached to aromatic C atoms were fixed at distances of 0.93 Å, while those attached to methylene C atoms were fixed at distances of 0.93 Å. H atoms of the coordinated water and lattice water molecules, having hydrogen-bonding interactions with coordinated water molecules, were refined isotropically. The $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H})$ values for the riding atoms were fixed at $1.1 U_{\rm eq}({\rm C})$. The highest peak in the difference map was 1.15 Å from the Ni atom. This is presumed to be a spurious peak probably due to imperfections in the absorption correction.

Data collection: *CAD-4 Software* (Enraf–Nonius, 1989); cell refinement: *CAD-4 Software*; data reduction: *XCAD4* in *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1999); program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR*92 (Altomare *et al.*, 1993); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997) *PLUTON* (Spek, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.

References

- Adams, H., Fenton, D. E., Haque, S. R., Heath, S. L., Ohba, M., Okaw, H. & Spey, S. E. (2000). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1849–1856.
- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C. & Guagliardi, A. (1993). J. Appl. Cryst. 26, 343–350.
- Barrios, A. M. & Lippard, S. J. (1999). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121, 11751– 11757.
- Barrios, A. M. & Lippard, S. J. (2000). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122, 9172–9177.
- Barrios, A. M. & Lippard, S. J. (2001). Inorg. Chem. 40, 1250-1255.
- Carlsson, H., Haukka, M. & Nordlander, E. (2002). *Inorg. Chem.* **41**, 4981–4983.
- Enraf–Nonius (1989). *CAD-4 Software*. Version 5.0. Enraf–Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Hausinger, R. P. & Karplus, P. A. (2001). Urease, in Handbook of Metalloproteins, edited by K. Wieghardt, R. Huber, T. L. Poulos & A. Messerschmidt, pp. 867–879. London: John Wiley and Sons Ltd.
- Jabri, E., Carr, M. B., Hausinger, R. P. & Karplus, A. (1995). Science, **268**, 998–1004.
- Koga, T., Furutachi, H., Nakamura, T., Fukita, N., Ohba, M., Takahashi, K. & Okawa, H. (1998). *Inorg. Chem.* 37, 989–996.
- Lippard. S. J. (1995). Sci. Perspect. 268, 996-997.
- Murthy, N. N., Mahroof-Tahir, M. & Karlin, K. D. (1993). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115, 10404–10405.
- Nanda, K. K., Addison, A. W., Paterson, N., Sinn, E., Thompson, L. K. & Sakaguchi, U. (1998). *Inorg. Chem.* 37, 1028–1036.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351– 359.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (1999). PLUTON for Windows. Utretcht University, The Netherlands.
- Volkmer, D., Hommerich, B., Klaus, G., Haase, W. & Krebs, B. (1996). *Inorg. Chem.* 35, 3792–3803.
- Wilcox, D. E. (1996). Chem. Rev. 96, 2435-2458.